RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

2011 FEB | | AM | |: | |

2011 FEB 11 PM 2: 54 IN AND BEFORE THE

FEC MAIL CENTER

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Friends of Kelly Ayotte, H. Scott Flegal,)	MUR 6431
Treasurer, in his official capacity)	
Respondent)	

RESPONSE AND MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT

Friends of Kelly Ayntte, H. Scott Flegal, in his official capasity as Treasmer of the Committee ("the Ayotte Committee") ("Respondent"), files this Response to the Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") by an individual, Mr. Raymond Buckley, alleging a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Respondent affirmatively states that there has been no violation of the Act, as evidenced by the exhibits to the Complaint.

It should be noted from the outset that the complainest, Mt. Buckley, did not file a complaint against the Ayotte Committee. Rather, the complaint names only a state entity, the Republican Senate Majority Committee, as the Respondent. The Commission has apparently added the Ayotte Committee sua sponte as a party respondent. However, the complaint cets forth no violation of the Act by the Ayotte Committee (or, for that matter, by the named Respondent in the complaint, the Republican Senate Majority Committee ("the State Committee").

The complaint alleges that four newspaper ads in which appeared photographs of then-GOP nominee and now United States Senator Kelly Ayotte constitute a violation of the Act by the State Committee. That is incorrect.

Commission regulations are mpt from the definition of Federal Election Activity ("FEA") a communication such as the ones at issue in this MUR.

- "(c) Exceptions. Federal election activity does not include any amount expended by a State, district or local committee of a political party for any of the following activities:
 - (1) A public communication that refers solely to a candidates for State or local office and that does not promote or support, or attack or oppose a clearly identified candidate for Federal office; provided, however, that much a public communication shall be considered a Rederal election activity if it constitutes voter registration activity, generic campaign activity, get-out-the-vote activity, or voter identification." Sea 11 C.F.R. §100.25(c).

In the instant case, a local Republican party committee paid for ads in which Kelly Ayotte endorsed two state senate candidates, whose phetographs also appeared in the ads.

At the direction of the Ayotte Committee, no reference to Kelly Ayotte's federal candidacy appears anywhere in the immensurer ads, nor is her status as a former Attorney General referenced in the ad. Only the name and photograph of Kelly Ayotta appears in the ad with no designation whatsoever indicating her candidacy.

The support and promotion of candidacy is a statement of support by Kelly Ayotte solely for the two state senate candidates. Conversely, the ads do not promote or support Kelly Ayotte for any office, state or federal.

Further, the Ayutte Committee did not review or approve the ads prior to their dissemination or publication. Kelly Ayotte agreed to endorse the two local candidates provided that there was no reference to ar mantion of hor federal candidate status in any public communications. Such was the sole extent of the Ayotte Committee's involvement in the advertisements at issue.

Accordingly, because the Ayotte Committee was not involved in the preparation or publication of the ads referenced in the MUR, and because there was no reference in any of the advertisements to Kelly Ayotte's candidacy for any office or any effort to promote, attack, support or oppuse her candidacy, the complaint is without sucrit and should be diamissed.

Respectfully submitted,

Cleta Mitchell, Counsel Friends of Kelly Ayotte H. Scott Flegal, Treasurer

FOLEY & LANDNER LLP 3000 K Street, NW #600 Washington, DC 20007 (202) 295-4081 (direct) (202) 672-5399 (fax) cmitchell@fnley.com

Dated: February 10, 2011